

the leading expert on the London 7/7 subway attacks) and on the threat posed to the Deep State by the emergence of the Internet as the new Gutenberg press (by Preston James). See our covers:



ABUSE OF PROCESS

The case before the Court represents a gross abuse of process, because its underling motivation has nothing to do with defamation but with the First Amendment, our freedom of speech and freedom of the press, in the absence of which the United States Constitution is meaningless—a relic of ages past, in which Americans had the right to speak their minds and to publish opinions without interference from institutions acting as surrogates of the government. Preston James has it right when he explains that the Internet has become an enormous threat to the Deep State and a source of anxiety to those whose interests are adversely affected by those who expose falsehoods and reveal truths, which has always been the motto of my websites (today, see jamesfetzer.org). I had published 770 blogs before my earlier website (jamesfetzer.blogspot.com) was taken down, But not before I had made the transition to a new and more secure site to withstand intervention.



The Plaintiff, who calls himself “Leonard Pozner”, launched a very carefully crafted lawsuit that alleges defamation the basis of my having described the death certificate he shared with Kelley Watt a “fabrication”. The true purpose, however, was not to complain about an actual case of defamation but to punish me, as a student of Sandy Hook, for exposing what happened there as a FEMA mass casualty exercise involving children, which was presented to the public as a child-shooting massacre to promote gun control. He has undertaken other lawsuits and efforts of this kind, where the recent past is littered with the debris of the destruction of the First Amendment wrought by “Leonard Pozner”, which includes his campaign to have tenured associate professor James Tracy, who wanted to make sure that the public was not being subjected to an elaborate scam and theft by deception, fired by Florida Atlantic University; and an ongoing assault upon Wolfgang Halbig, a retired Florida Stater Trooper, a former US Customs Agent, a past school principal and a nationally-recognized school-safety expert, who wanted to find out what had happened there in order to advise other school systems on the steps they should take to make sure something like that didn’t happen to them. I know them both. They are honorable men.

The Plaintiff has used his “HONR Network”, ostensibly created to protect the parents and the relatives of children and adults from harassment by skeptics about Sandy Hook, to take down blogs, videos and web sites that present the outcomes of research. He has boasted of having been

responsible for having removed “tens of thousands” of content items from the Internet, where a YouTube video or an Internet blog would count as only one item. He has taken down “tens of thousands”, where, to my astonishment, I even received three strikes against my research since this case began. What the Court needs to understand is that the Plaintiff has been very open about his objectives in bringing these lawsuits, even when he has abandoned them when directed by the Judge to sit for a video deposition, as occurred in his lawsuit against Wolfgang Halbig. [Consider:](#)



Nathan Z on November 13, 2017 at 9:23 pm

Why did you drop your suit against Halbig? What Happened? Were you threatened by the tinhatters?

★ Like

Reply



admin0 on February 15, 2018 at 7:03 pm

Halbig LOST – He was sued to take down sandyhookjustice.com and HE DID! The rest was to show other Hoaxers that they will be taken to court and it will drag on for a long time.

★ Like

Reply

There are four motives for punishment: to *remove a threat*; to *set an example*; *retribution*; and *rehabilitation*. By undertaking these lawsuits, the Plaintiff accomplished his goal of punishing those who dare to expose corrupt acts of the Deep State—especially in relation to Sandy Hook—where, in this case, he not only succeeded in having Wolfgang take down sandyhookjustice.com, where he was publishing the results of his research, but “to show other Hoaxers that they will be taken to court and it will drag on for a long time”, his true motive in his own words. Mike Adams (Exhibit B) and Sarah Westall (Exhibit C) are especially perceptive of the threat thereby posed.

The Plaintiff thereby admits that these lawsuits are improper, intended to censor, intimidate and silence critics with the cost of a legal defense until they abandon their criticism or opposition—a practice that is being defined within the context of the law as [SLAPP suits impeding free speech](#):

Strategic lawsuit against public participation

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

A **strategic lawsuit against public participation (SLAPP)** is a lawsuit that is intended to censor, intimidate, and silence critics by burdening them with the cost of a legal defense until they abandon their criticism or opposition.^[1] Such lawsuits have been made illegal in many jurisdictions on the grounds that they impede freedom of speech.

In the typical SLAPP, the plaintiff does not normally expect to win the lawsuit. The plaintiff's goals are accomplished if the defendant succumbs to fear, intimidation, mounting legal costs, or simple exhaustion and abandons the criticism. In some cases, repeated frivolous litigation against a defendant may raise the cost of directors and officers liability insurance for that party, interfering with an organization's ability to operate.^[2] A SLAPP may also intimidate others from participating in the debate. A SLAPP is often preceded by a legal threat.

The Social Media Giants are engaged in even more direct forms of suppression by taking down YouTubes and blogs that violate their “community standards”, which have now been expanded to include denials of “well-documented violent events”, no doubt including the death of JFK, the atrocities of 9/11 and (even) the Sandy Hook school shooting, which they explicitly cite. After all, the “official narratives” of these events are copiously documented, such as by [The Warren Report](#) (1964), [The 9/11 Commission Report](#) (2003) and the [Report of the State's Attorney on Sandy Hook by Stephen Sedensky III](#) (2013), even though (as the book explains), it fails to establish a causal nexus connecting the alleged shooter (Adam Lanza) with the weapons he is supposed to have used and the victims he is alleged to have killed. If there is a more complete forensic failure in the history of criminology, I would love to see it. His fingerprints were not on the rifle with which he is said to have shot his mother and none of the 150 slugs reported to have been gathered from the scene could be connected with the weapon he is supposed to have used.

ARGUMENT

By any appropriate measure, I have been an active and public investigative journalist at least since I became seriously engaged in research on the assassination of JFK in 1992. I have done research on a wide array of events of great importance to the American people, including JFK, 9/11, Wellstone, Sandy Hook, the Boston bombing, Orlando and Dallas, Charlottesville, and more. I have been published widely and been interviewed both nationally and internationally, including by NBC, the BBC (twice), Fox News (thrice), Showtime (for “Dark Net”, pitting me against the Plaintiff on Sandy Hook), but also by RT, Al Jazeera, Sputnik News, Russian State Television and Press TV (100+ times). In some cases, I received honoraria for my contributions. Conducting research, doing interviews, creating videos, writing blogs and publishing books are among the most important efforts of an investigative journalist, of which I am proud to be one.

In this capacity, I have acquired hundreds of sources across a wide range of investigations, not least of which are those related to Sandy Hook. The Plaintiff wants me to reveal my sources so he can replenish his efforts to destroy freedom of speech and freedom of the press and have new targets for harassment and lawsuits. Edward Snowden, Julian Assange and Chelsea Manning have set a high bar for defending their sources from punishment. I can do no less. Allowing access to my sources in this case would be to compound one abuse of process with another. WHEREFORE, the Court’s order compelling production having been in error for the reasons adduced, it must be reconsidered and vacated, in the interest of justice.

Dated: 14 June 2019

Signed: */s/ James Fetzer*

James Fetzer

EXHIBIT A

NOTE: Insofar as my academic website was last updated 31 March 2009, my more recent activities can be ascertained from an Internet search and from my blog (at least to the extent they have not been taken down and deleted by the Deep State).

Applied Philosophical Research

Co-Authored Books:

AMERICAN ASSASSINATION: The Strange Death of Senator Paul Wellstone (with Don "Four Arrows" Jacobs) (Brooklyn, NY: Voxpop, 2004), xviii + 188 pp.

Edited Books:

ASSASSINATION SCIENCE: Experts Speak Out on the Death of JFK (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 1998), xvi + 464 pp.

MURDER IN DEALEY PLAZA: What We Know Now that We Didn't Know Then (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2000), xii + 468 pp. + 16 pp. Color Insert Section

THE GREAT ZAPRUDER FILM HOAX: Deceit and Deception in the Death of JFK (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2003), xx + 496 pp.

THE 9/11 CONSPIRACY: The Scamming of America (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2007), xxii + 322 pp.

General Articles:

"A Piece of My Mind: Lundberg, JFK and JAMA", The Third Decade (March 1993), pp. 35-40.

Reprinted in Harrison E. Livingstone, Killing the Truth (New York, NY: Carroll & Graf, 1993), pp. 635-641.

Reprinted in J. H. Fetzer, ed., Assassination Science (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 1998), pp. 27-36.

"JFK's Assassination: Conspiracy, Forensic Science, and Common Sense", in Harrison E. Livingstone, Killing the Truth (New York, NY: Carroll & Graf, 1993), pp. 642-648.

Reprinted under the title, "Thinking Critically about JFK's Assassination", in J. H. Fetzer, ed., Assassination Science (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 1998), pp. 85-92.

"The Zapruder Film and the Language of Proof", Kennedy Assassination Chronicles 2 (Winter 1996), pp. 40-42.

"The Death of JFK", in J. H. Fetzer, ed., Assassination Science (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 1998), pp. 1-22.

"Assassination Science and the Language of Proof", in J. H. Fetzer, ed., Assassination Science (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 1998), pp. 349-371.

"Artful Deceptions and Other Fallacies: A Page from Posner", The Fourth Decade (January 1998), pp. 8-12.

"Three Shots in Three Seconds: How the Nation's Press Misleads the American People", Kennedy Assassination Chronicles (Spring 1998), pp. 24-29.

"A Reply to Hal Verb", The Fourth Decade 5 (May 1998), pp. 13-17.

"Where were You when JFK was Shot?", The Dealey Plaza Echo (United Kingdom, November 1999), pp. 26-29.

"Smoking Guns' in the Death of JFK", in J. H. Fetzer, ed., Murder in Dealey Plaza (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2000), pp. 1-15.

"Jesse Curry's JFK Assassination File: Could Oswald Have Been Convicted?", in J. H. Fetzer, ed., Murder in Dealey Plaza (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2000), pp. 361-370.

"Preface", The Great Zapruder Film Hoax (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2003), pp. ix-xx.

"Prologue: Fraud and Fabrication in the Death of JFK", The Great Zapruder Film Hoax (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2003), pp. 1-28.

"Which Film *is* 'The Zapruder Film'?" (with Scott A. Lederer), The Great Zapruder Film Hoax (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2003), pp. 29-44.

Reprinted in assassinationresearch.com 2/2 (2003).

Distorting the Photographic Record: 'Death in Dealey Plaza'", The Great Zapruder Film Hoax (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2003), pp. 427-436.

Reprinted in assassinationresearch.com 2/2 (2003).

"Reasoning about Assassinations: Critical Thinking in Political Contexts", International Journal of the Humanities, Vol. 3 (2005/2006), pp. 1447-9508.

"Preface: The 9/11 Conspiracy (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2007), pp. ix-xix.

"Thinking about 'Conspiracy Theories': 9/11 and JFK", *The 9/11 Conspiracy* (Chicago, IL: Open Court/Catfeet Press, 2007), pp. 43-74.

"Moorman/Zapruder Revisited", *DEALEY PLAZA ECHO* 13/1 (2009), pp. 6-33.

Electronic Publications:

"The Lone-Nutter Refutation", assassinationresearch.com 1/1 (2002)

Reprinted in assassinationscience.com (2004);

"On the Origins of the Assassination of JFK", assassinationresearch.com 1/1 (2002)

"Mrs. Paine's Garage: A Work of Deception from Beginning to End", assassinationresearch.com 1/1 (2002)

Reprinted in *The Dealey Plaza Echo* 6/2 (2002), pp. 26-32

Reprinted in *Kennedy Assassination Chronicles* 8/1 (2002)

"Editor's Preface: Gregory Douglas and Regicide: Both Fascinating and Frustrating", assassinationresearch.com 1/2 (2002)

"Regicide: Are We Closing in on the Whole Truth about JFK?", assassinationresearch.com 1/2 (2002)

"Reflections on Madeleine", *Kennedy Assassination Chronicles* 8/2 (2002), <http://www.jfklancer.com/kSum02.html>

"Editor's Preface: Ira David Wood III: The Assassination Chronology", assassinationresearch.com 2/1 (2003)

"Editor's Preface: The Zapruder Film: Recent Research and Legal Issues", assassinationresearch.com 2/2 (2003)

"The NTSB Failed Wellstone" (with John P. Costella), fromthewilderness.com (6 July 2005)

Reprinted in *From the Wilderness* 8/5 (31 July 2005), pp. 15-22

"Reclaiming History: A Closed Mind Perpetrating a Fraud on the Public", assassinationresearch.com 5/1 (2007), 11 pp.

Reprinted in *Paris Flammonde, Indices of the Assassination of America, Book 4* (Stroudsburg, PA: Scanuscryption, 2007), pp. 1743-1756

Reprinted in *The Dealey Plaza Echo* (November 2007), pp. 35-45.

"New Proof of JFK Film Fakery", OpEdNews, (5 February 2008).

"Another Attempted Reenactment of the Death of JFK", with David W. Mantik, M.D., Ph.D., OpEdNews (21 November 2008).

"Moorman in the Street", <http://JFKresearch.com/Moorman>, (January 2009).

"Tink Rolls the Dice", <http://JFKresearch.com/Moorman2>, (March 2009).

"Zapruder JFK Film Impeached by Moorman JFK Polaroid", OpEdNews, (28 March 2009).

"What Didn't Happen at the Pentagon", (11 June 2009).

Reprinted (with edits) as "What Didn't Happen at the Pentagon", (25 January 2010).

"False Flag Attacks in Argentina: 1992 and 1994" (with Adrian Salbuchi), (11 June 2009).

"The Dartmouth JFK-Photo Fiasco" (with Jim Moor), (11 June 2009).

Reprinted as "JFK Assassination. How 'Patsies' Are Framed. The Case of Lee Harvey Oswald" (with Jim Moor), (11 December 2009).

"American Assassination: What Happened to Paul Wellstone? Part I", (9 December 2009).

"American Assassination? What Happened to Paul Wellstone? Part II", (11 December 2009).

"American Assassination? What Happened to Paul Wellstone? Part III", (17 December 2009).

"Conspiracy Theories: A Triple-Header", (21 December 2009).

"Dealey Plaza Revisited: What Happened to JFK?", in John F. Kennedy: History, Memory, Legacy, edited by John Williams et al. (2010), (19 November 2009).

Reprinted as "Dealey Plaza Revisited: What Happened to JFK?", (19 November 2009).

"Unanswered Questions: Was 9/11 an 'Inside Job'?", (7 January 2010).

"Blowing the Whistle on Dartmouth: Hany Farid 'in the nation's service'", (27 January 2010).

"New 9/11 Photos Released", (10 February 2010).

"Predator Drones: The Immoral Use of Autonomous Machines", (18 March 2010).

"US Government Official: JFK Cover-Up. Film Fabrication", Online Journal, (7 April 2010).

"JFK and RFK: The Plots that Killed Them, The Patsies that Didn't", voltairenet.com, (13 June 2010).

"Conspiracies and Conspiracism", Online Journal, (28 June 2010).

"Wikipedia as a 9/11 Disinformation Op", Online Journal, (6 July 2010).

Videotapes:

"JFK: The Assassination, the Cover-Up, and Beyond", a 7-part lecture series. Written and Directed by James H. Fetzer. 4:25:20. (1994)

"The Zapruder Film Symposium", a 6-part public presentation organized and moderated by James H. Fetzer. Produced by JFK Lancer Productions & Publications. 2 Videotapes. 4:12:00. (1996)

"Dallas Before 22 November 1963", A Conversation between Madeleine Duncan Brown and James H. Fetzer. Produced by JFK Lancer Productions & Publications. 0:30:00. (1998)

"The Death of JFK", A Professional Conference held at the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, 14-16 May 1999, organized and moderated by James H. Fetzer. 14 Videotapes. 15:49:00. (1999)

"The Zapruder Film Symposium", A Professional Conference held at the University of Minnesota, Duluth, 9-11 May 2003, organized and moderated by James H. Fetzer. Forthcoming.

"The Science and the Politics of 9/11: What's Controversial, What's Not", A Professional Conference held at the Radisson Madison, Madison, WI, 3-5 August 2007, organized and moderated by James H. Fetzer. 2 DVD-9s. 13:14:00. (2007)

Web Sites:

Public Issues: <http://assassinationscience.com>

JFK Research: <http://assassinationresearch.com>

Scholars for 9/11 Truth: <http://911scholars.org>

New Blog: <http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com/>

Radio Blog: <http://radiofetzer.blogspot.com/>

EXHIBIT B

NOTE: As Mike Adams, who has been ranked as the second most influential figure on the Internet, has observed, not only have my own videos and blogs been taken down but those of others who interview me have as well. Here's [an article he did](#) after having had his entire web site taken down for an interview with me in 2015.

“The Most Dangerous Mind in America” interviewed about false flags and extreme censorship

Sunday, May 06, 2018 by: [Mike Adams](#)

Tags: [Amazon, book bans, Book Burning, Censorship, conspiracies, conspiracy analyst, Dangerous, fake news, fake swat, False flags, hoax, interview, Jim Fetzer, Mandalay Bay shooting, Sandy Hook](#)

16K VIEWS



[\(Natural News\)](#) When YouTube banned my entire channel two months ago, they were putting strikes on my account for years-old interviews I had conducted with a conspiracy analyst and author named “Jim Fetzer.”

Fetzer, who I'm now calling, "The Most Dangerous Mind in America," is the author of a book that was [literally banned by Amazon.com](#). Yes, the some e-commerce retailer that gladly sells books promoting Nazi fascism, deadly communism and weird sex indoctrination of children went out of its way to ban a book about Sandy Hook.

His book is entitled, "Nobody Died at Sandy Hook," and it lays out the case for why Fetzer believes the entire Sandy Hook shooting was a staged false flag event held in an abandoned school that had no real teachers or students at the time of the shooting. ([You can download the entire book at this link](#) if you're curious.) Notably, **I disagree with many of Fetzer's conclusions**, but I agree with the right of people like Fetzer to be able to speak.

Fetzer also believes that nobody was actually shot at the Mandalay Bay event in Las Vegas. He says it was all "crowds for hire" and fake blood theatrics. I find that conclusion to be bizarre and inaccurate, but even as much as I disagree with Fetzer's conclusions, I believe Fetzer has a right to be heard so that others can decide for themselves whether the things he says make sense. I also find it highly suspicious that companies like Amazon would go out of their way to ban Fetzer's books when so many other obviously false books aren't banned at all (such as books espousing the *Flat Earth* theory).

In an age where [Pulitzer prizes are handed out to fake news media for publishing blatantly fake stories](#), I find it highly suspicious that Fetzer is being singled out for "extreme censorship."

The fact that Fetzer is being banned and censored everywhere shows the authoritarianism of modern society

Even if you think Fetzer's conclusions are nonsense, the banning of his books is nothing sort of authoritarian. It's also fairly obvious that if his books were filled with total nonsense, they wouldn't have to be banned at all because their lack of credibility would be self-evident. Yet the banning of Fetzer's books and videos has been coordinated, aggressive and merciless.

That's probably because his book contains a vast array of photographic evidence that many people find convincing enough to at least start questioning the official narrative we've all been fed on events like Sandy Hook. Perhaps Fetzer isn't 100% correct, people might say, but he does raise some very big questions the media has been glossing over. (For example: Why is one of the supposed SWAT team police officers later interviewed as one of the parents of a Sandy Hook shooting victim? And if he's really a SWAT guy, why was he photographed carrying his sniper rifle by the ammo magazine? No legitimate rifleman would ever carry a rifle that way...)

Here's a popular video meme that highlights some of the huge, gaping mistakes of this "fake SWAT" actor who was widely publicized by CNN during the Sandy Hook video coverage. No legitimate SWAT member walks around slinging a rifle like this (the guy is obviously an actor):



Here's another video that fully covers the total fakery of the SWAT team actor, who has since been identified as David Wheeler:

I believe in free speech, and "free speech" includes unpopular speech. So I've posted Fetzer's entire book as a PDF document so that you can read it for yourself. I don't endorse all the findings in the book, but I do think the public shouldn't be told what they can and cannot read. Thus, I'm posting this mostly as an act of rebellion against censorship.

Remember, the entire establishment says Fetzer is so dangerous that all his books and videos **MUST** be censored by everyone, from Amazon to YouTube. Don't you find that intriguing? What information in his books is so "dangerous" that the public must not even be allowed to see what Fetzer wrote for themselves?

[Download the book for yourself right here \(PDF\).](#)

Note that I don't endorse all the views and opinions of Jim Fetzer found in his videos, books and articles, **but I do agree with his right to speak**. Do we really live in a society where Amazon.com engages in **online book burnings**? The answer is, "Yes!"

Now YouTube is banning ANYONE who talks to Fetzer

Fast forwarding to today, YouTube is rapidly banning all accounts of anyone who dares talk to Jim Fetzer. In fact, YouTube is **going back in time** and finding videos posted years ago that might involve Fetzer, and they are banning all videos that provide Fetzer any sort of voice whatsoever.

That's why I recently reached out to Fetzer to have a conversation about censorship, false flags and the banning of his books and videos. Here's our lengthy conversation, which has been slightly edited for length and clarity:

You may find Fetzer's conclusions to be bizarre, but don't you have a right to hear him speak and decide for yourself?

I'm listing a few links to more of Fetzer's videos below. This is not an endorsement of these videos but rather an invitation to explore the "most dangerous mind in America" and see why the establishment thinks he's so dangerous.

Like I said earlier, if Fetzer's conclusions were obviously total nonsense, why wouldn't the establishment simply ignore him and allow his own words to prove how wrong he is? Instead, they ban his books, his videos and his speech, then they tell us, "There's nothing to see here." So why ban Fetzer in the first place, then?

To see more of Fetzer's work, take a look at "The Parkland Puzzle: How the Pieces Fit Together" <https://d.tube/#!/v/monaalexis27/lvkflnbp>


"Sandy Hook Update: Tracy Loses, Wolfgang Wins. The Deep State Strikes Back" <https://www.bitchute.com/video/H8pLv2RiSAqC/>

"False Flags on Five Fronts" <https://d.tube/#!/v/monaalexis27/v9he2ql5>

EXHIBIT C

Sarah Westall, who also has a background as an investigative journalist, has more recently interviewed me and explained why my case is about the First Amendment and not about a purported act of defamation, in interviews she has done with me, where she has been among the best at recognizing the threat to freedom of speech and to freedom of the press, where the mainstream media refuses to cover what the skeptics have to say and only present information supportive of official narratives:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k2cSA_D5cz4



TRUTH: First Victim of War - Shutting Down Free Speech, Sandy Hook, Fetzer & Alex Jones

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/OVt3CBn379II/>

POZNER VS FETZER; SANDY HOOK CASE THAT COULD DESTROY YOUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHTS (1OF2)

WATCH



<https://www.bitchute.com/video/dHLbvBv0ze10/>

POZNER VS FETZER; SANDY HOOK CASE THAT COULD DESTROY YOUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHTS (2OF2)

WATCH



EXHIBIT V:
Affidavit of Kelley Watt
(April 23, 2019)

FILED
04-30-2019
CIRCUIT COURT
DANE COUNTY, WI
2018CV003122

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

LEONARD POZNER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES FETZER,
MIKE PALECEK,
and WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES, LLC,
Defendants.

CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION

**DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

CASE NO. 2018-CV-003122

Defendant James Fetzer, pro se (and hereafter in the first person), pursuant to Wis. Stat. 802.08, respectfully moves for summary judgment on the Complaint. Defendants Mike Palecek and Wrongs Without Wremedies, LLC, join in this motion. My attestation to the truth of the factual statements herein appears by verification at the foot of this motion, and attached is the affidavit of Kelley Watt, establishing that the death certificate I had addressed and described as a fake, a fraud, or a fabrication in my publications, came from Plaintiff Leonard Pozner.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff complains that I have published false statements about the document he has included in his Complaint as Attachment A, which he claims is the official death certificate of his deceased son, to whom he refers as "N.P". A copy of that document (virtually illegible in the version with which I was served) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Specifically, Plaintiff alleges, at Complaint ¶18:

There, Defendant Fetzer made the following false statement: "It [N.P.'s death certificate] turned out to be a fabrication, with the bottom half of a real death certificate and the top half of a fake, with no file number and the wrong estimated time of death at 11 AM, when 'officially' the shooting took place between 9:35-9:40 that morning." *That statement is false*, both in its particular fact and in the main point, essence, or gist in the context in

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

LEONARD POZNER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES FETZER,

MIKE PALACEK,

and WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES, LLC,

Defendants.

CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION

CASE NO. 2018-CV-003122

AFFIDAVIT OF KELLEY WATT

Kelley Watt, being first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and states as follows:

1. I make this Affidavit of my own personal knowledge.

2. I became interested in Sandy Hook from the beginning. Because of my background as the owner of a commercial and home cleaning service, I was aware that blood is a bio-hazard that has to be properly handled with chain-of-custody records from scene to disposal.

3. It was I who called several state agencies without success asking the simple question, "Who cleaned up the blood?" Nobody knew. I was eventually directed to make contact with Lt. Paul Vance of the Connecticut State Police, who responded to my query with, "What blood?" This heightened my suspicions that nobody knew because there had been no blood.

4. My account of my pursuit of the answer to this question has been published in Ch. 5, “Top Ten Reasons Sandy Hook was a Hoax” by Vivian Lee, Ph.D., on page 63, *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook: It was a FEMA Drill to Promote Gun Control* (2015; 2nd ed., 2016), where the author also reports that I discussed my experience with Defendant Fetzer on his radio program, “The Real Deal” (December 9, 2013).

5. I also explain what happened in “Kelley Watt: Nobody Knows Who Cleaned Up the Blood—No Blood to Clean Up?”, a Sandy Hook memorandum published in *Sandy Hook Truth: Citizens Intelligence Briefing for Donald J. Trump, President, United States of America*, Robert David Steele, editor (2018), pp. 47-48, which was published free online and can be accessed at <http://tinyurl.com/SH-POTUS> and is included here as Exhibit 1.

6. Defendant Fetzer has asked me to confirm the contents of the Editor’s note of Exhibit C concerning my conjecture that “Noah Pozner” is a fiction made up out of photographs of Michael Vabner as a child or, putting it the other way around, that Michael Vabner is “Noah Pozner” all grown up.

7. My thoughts about this were originally published as Appendix D, “Is Noah’s older step-brother, Michael Vabner, Noah ‘all grown up’? or is Noah simply Michael as a child?”, to the Second Edition of *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook* (2015; 2nd ed., 2016), pp. 381-386.

8. A copy of Appendix D, “Is Noah’s older step-brother, Michael Vabner, Noah ‘all grown up’? or is Noah simply Michael as a child?”, is included here as Exhibit 2.

9. As it happened, I would have around 100 hours of conversation with the man identified to me as “Lenny Pozner”, whom I understand to be the same person who is suing Defendant Fetzer for defamation for having described the death certificate he sent to me as a fabrication.

10. I have discussed my conversations with the Plaintiff on many occasions, including in Ch. 11, “Are Sandy Hook Skeptics Delusional with ‘Twisted Minds’”, which I co-authored with Defendant Fetzer and published in *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook* (2015; 2nd ed., 2016), pp. 177-186, which is included here as Exhibit 3.

11. I did not reach out to him, he contacted me. This email from Google Plus pops up, and it says “Lenny Pozner follows you on Google Plus.” I didn’t even know I had Google Plus. So, I just hit the “reply” button, and I said “Why are you following me on Google Plus? Is it because I don’t believe a word of what you’re saying, that you had a son [who] died at Sandy Hook?”

12. And so, then we started typing back and forth . . . until like three in the morning. And he said, “I’m really getting tired of typing. Could you call me?” And I said, “No, I don’t wanna be sued, so if you want to talk to me, here’s my number, you can call me.” So, he proceeded to call me. And then, after that, we did call each other, and I actually kind of got to like him; he was really a nice guy, he was funny.

13. One day he said, “I sent you something.” And I said, “What did you send me?” He said, “Just go to your email, you’ll see it.” And it was a copy of Mel Gibson’s movie *Conspiracy Theory*.

So, I said, "Well I just sent you something, too." And he goes, "What?" And I said, "Go to your email." And it was a copy of the movie *Big Fat Liar*, to which he laughed.

14. So, every day, we talked until the wee hours of the morning; we talked several times throughout the night. I would say we became friends. All the time I said, "Lenny, you're lying." One time I heard some noise in the morning in the background, and I said, "What's that noise?" And he said he's making pancakes for the kids. And I said, "Well, make some for Noah, because if you have a son, he's not dead, he's probably sitting right there at the breakfast table." And those were the types of comments that I would make to him on a daily basis, telling him that he did not have a son that died.

15. We talked for about six months—email and phone calls and texting—and then we ended it because I asked him what the name of his organization was. I said, "Is it NoahsArk.com, or .org, or what?" He said, "Why do you wanna know? You're not gonna make a donation. You don't even think I had a son that died." And I said, "I'm not gonna make a donation, but there's gonna be a major lawsuit against you fraudsters someday, and I wanna make a donation so that I can be part of the group that sues you." And he said, "Fuck you, bitch," and that was the end after six months. Those were his parting words to me after six months of friendship.

16. Nobody in their right mind would talk to a housekeeper from Tulsa, who's saying that their son didn't die. You'd hang up and say, "Go to hell. I don't want anything to do with you," if your son really did die. I don't think he would continue to talk to a stranger who is calling you a liar. Nobody on Earth would do that.

17. Thinking about it, I now believe Lenny was talking with me to get information, to see what we knew. But instead I got information from him. I told him, I said, “Here’s what I want from you. I want a death certificate, a copy of his report card, and a picture of Veronique in the hospital with Noah.”

18. And then the very next day, he said, “Go check your email.” And I said, “Why?” And he said, “There’s something that you asked for.” And I said, “What?” And he said, “It’s the death certificate, a report card and a picture of Veronique.” But she wasn’t in the hospital.

19. It’s a small thing, but I noticed immediately that the kindergarten report card Lenny sent to me had the address of Sandy Hook Elementary School misspelled as “Dickenson Drive”—when it’s actually “Dickinson Drive”—which made me suspicious that something was wrong.

20. Defendant Fetzer asked me to listen to an interview that Lenny gave, a link to which and transcript of which appears as Exhibit Y, “How to Fight Conspiracy Theories” (audio interview: 21 minutes, 38 seconds), to Defendant Fetzer’s Answer to Responses and Objections to Defendant’s First Set of Requests for Admissions. Richard Gutjahr interviews Lenny Pozner. After listening to parts of that audio interview, I can attest that that voice is the voice of the same person with whom I had 100 hours of conversation over the phone. That is *absolutely* the same person.

21. Should the Court so desire, I would be glad to assist in recovering the phone records for these conversations, should they be appropriate and relevant for this Court proceeding.

22. A copy of the death certificate that Plaintiff sent to me appears on page 181 of Ch. 11 and appears to be indistinguishable from Exhibit H of Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Responses and Objections to Defendant's Second Set of Requests for Admission.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.


Kelly Watt

State of OKLAHOMA
 County of TULSA

Signed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 23rd day of April, in the year 20 19
 by KELLEY WATT making statement.

PLACE
STAMP
HERE

Elena Miyazato
 Notary Signature

ELENA MIYAZATO
 Notary Public - State of Oklahoma
 Commission Number 18002769
 My Commission Expires Mar 19, 2022

My Commission Expires: 03/19/2022
 My Commission # 18002769

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: CIRCUT COURT

Document Date: 04/23/2019 Number of Pages: 6

EXHIBIT W:
Affidavit of
Wolfgang H. Halbig
(December 12, 2023)

Affidavit of Wolfgang W. Halbig

This document was prepared by:
Wolfgang W. Halbig, pro se
25526 Hawks Run Lane
Sorrento, Florida 32776
Phone: 407-496-5551
Email: Wolfgang.halbig@comcast.net

Identifying the correct and authentic Leonard Pozner

STATE OF FLORIDA, COUNTY OF LAKE


1. The undersigned, Wolfgang W. Halbig, being first duly sworn, do with this state under oath and penalty of perjury that the following facts are accurate.
2. I am over the age of 18, and I am a resident of the State of Florida.
3. I know the facts and could testify to them if called a witness.
4. I suffer no legal disabilities and have personal knowledge of the facts below.
5. I, with this, certify that while attending my hearing in courtroom 1b with Judge Josalyn Jones, Assistant State Attorney Chambers, and my Attorney Ms. Umansky, for my case of the State of Florida, Plaintiff, vs. Wolfgang Halbig, Defendant/Petitioner, Case Nos: 2020-cf-000224-axxxxx, and 2020-mm-001628-axxx-xx, a person appeared in in the Circuit Court of the Fifth Judicial Circuit, 550 West Main Street, Tavares, Florida 32778, in and for Lake County, Florida on August 1, 2023, at 1:30 PM to testify that he was Leonard Posner and the only Lenny Posner who had lost his son in the shooting alleged to have occurred on December 14, 2012, at the Sandy Hook Elementary School in New Town, Connecticut.
6. I later learned of a police traffic stop on 09/21/2023 for speeding by the Orange County, Florida, Sheriff's Office. I obtained a copy of the citing Officer's body cam footage on 10/24/2023 using public records request #23-22128. The Officer's body cam footage depicts the same Leonard Pozner who testified at my August 1, 2023, court hearing.

- 7. The person who represented himself as Leonard Pozner during my court proceeding is depicted in exhibit A, the body cam photograph attached to this affidavit.
- 8. My opinion as a former Florida Highway Patrol Officer and US Customs Agent is that the person claiming to be Leonard Pozner in my Florida courtroom is NOT the same person depicted in the business suit attached to this affidavit, and the person depicted in casual clothing is likely not the person depicted in the business suit.

FURTHER, AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

To the best of my knowledge and belief, I declare that the information herein is accurate, correct, and complete.


Executed this 13 day of December, 2023


 Wolfgang W. Halbig

SWORN TO and subscribed before me this 13 day of December 2023
 Wolfgang W. Halbig, whom I know or who has produced

FL/OL as identification.

 (Seal)



Notary Public
 Notary Printed Name Tulsı Patel

My commission expires 09/30/2026


Exhibit A



The differences in these images makes me conclude that they are two different people. The shape, height and alignment of the eyebrows is different. Also the tops of the ears don't align and the mouth is misaligned despite the fact that person 2 is smiling. The length of the skull above the brows is greater in person 1, as is the distance between the nose and lips.

I simply ask her to look at the photos I provided to her and tell me that this was the Pozner she questioned in our courtroom.

Exhibit C



THE PERSON IN PHOTOS 1 AND 2 LOOK LIKE A DIFFERENT PERSON THAN THE ONE IN PHOTO 3. THE REASONS ARE LISTED BELOW.

The left eyebrow of person 1 & 2 is much more angled and shaped differently than person 3 who has a flatter angle to the eyebrow.
 The bottom eyelids in person 1 & 2 look flatter as opposed to those of person 3 which seem much rounder.
 The right ear of person 1 & 2 seems to stick out from the head farther than person 3's right ear. 1 & 2's ear seems kind of artificial to me, almost prosthetic.
 The distance between the tops of the eyelids and the bottom of the eyebrows in person 1 & 2 is greater than in person 3, albeit person 3 is looking up at the camera which will affect this measurement.
 The bone structure of the face in person 1 & 2 appears different even though he's slimmer than person 3. The chin is much more square at the bottom and seems wider than person 3's chin which appears rounder and narrower.
 The wings of the nose on person 1 & 2 appear significantly narrower than person 3's, and the overall shape from the bridge of the nose to the tip appears different to me.

I hired a Photo Forensic Expert to analyze the photos, I did NOT want to be accused of falsifying information. simply ask her to look at the photos I provide her and tell me that this was the Leonard Pozner in court courtroom that she questioned him on August 1, 2023.

WHY WOULD MY OWN ATTORNEY REFUSE TO IDENTIFY THE PHOTO OF THE POZNER SHE QUESTIONED BEFORE JUDE JONES ON AUGUST 1, 2023?

She was my attorney that I paid for.

I am NOT good with technology so please forgive me.

Wolfgang W Halbig
 25526 Hawks Run Lane
 Sorrento, Florida 32776
 407-496-5551





By Lisa Backus Published 7:11 am EDT, Wednesday, October 16, 2019




EXHIBIT X:
Affidavit of
James H. Fetzer, Ph.D.
(March 8, 2024)

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES H. FETZER

IDENTIFYING THE CORRECT AND AUTHENTIC LEONARD POZNER

STATE OF WISCONSIN, COUNTY OF DANE

1. The undersigned, James H. Fetzer, being first duly sworn, hereby state under oath and penalty of perjury that the following facts are accurate. I am over the age of 18, and I am a resident of the State of Wisconsin. I personally know the facts and could testify to them if called a witness. I suffer no legal disabilities.
2. I have reviewed the Affidavit of Wolfgang W. Halbig dated December 13, 2023, attached hereto as Exhibit B.
3. The person on the left in the business suit in Exhibit A of the Affidavit of Wolfgang W. Halbig is the person who appeared at the deposition conducted by me of him in the Dane County, Wisconsin case of Leonard Pozner v James Fetzer, at case number 2018-CV-003122 on the 28th day of May, 2019.
4. The person in the business suit represented himself, under oath, as the Leonard Pozner, the father of alleged deceased child Noah Pozner, at the time I disposed him.
5. I have never seen the person on the right in casual clothes in Exhibit A of the attached Affidavit of Wolfgang W. Halbig.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Date 8 March 2024

James H. Fetzer, Ph.D.

James H. Fetzer, Ph.D.

On this 8th day of March 2024, before me, the undersigned notary, appeared James H. Fetzer who produced Wisconsin driver's license number 5326-4489-0446-03

To state that the foregoing facts are within his personal knowledge and are true.

My commission expires: 3/31/25

Jack Roessler [Signature]
Print Name

Seal



EXHIBIT Y:
Affidavit of
Brian Davidson, P.I.
(June 15, 2024)

06/15/2024

Affidavit of Fact

My name is Brian Davidson, I am a licensed private investigator operating out of the Houston, TX area. My address is 8022 Bayside Dr. Beach City, TX 77523 and my phone number is (832) 304-3577. My Texas Private Security License is A17936. My Private Investigation license was first issued on 05/22/2012. I have never been accused of perjury in a court of law. The following information I will attest to in a court of law if I am called as a witness. I write this affidavit of my own free will and I have not received any compensation for my testimony.

On December 12th 2012 the “Sandy Hook Elementary School Shooting” event took place in Newtown, Connecticut. The official story was that at that event, 20-year-old Adam Lanza shot and killed 26 people, twenty of the “victims” were children who attended the school.¹

I have provided a previous affidavit related to my assessment of the crime scene photographs to Dr. James Fetzer and have provided my opinion that the photographs provided by the Connecticut State Police Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection² event was more consistent with a “False Flag” drill than an authentic murder scene.

Dr. Fetzer has asked me to verify or falsify the conclusions that are set forth in the Affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig dated December 12, 2023, specifically these three claims:

(1) that the man whose photograph has been published worldwide as “Leonard Pozner” from Sandy Hook is not the same person as the person who testified in Dr. Fetzer’s lawsuit in Madison, WI, under the name “Leonard Pozner”

(2) that the man who was issued a speeding ticket in FL is the same person who testified in his court case in FL; and

(3) that neither of them is the same as the person who testified in Dr. Fetzer’s case in WI. Thus, according to the Halbig Affidavit, there are three Leonard Pozners.

¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sandy_Hook_Elementary_School_shooting




Figure 1 – This photograph was provided to me by both Dr. Fetzer and Wolfgang Halbig as the man who provided testimony to the courts as “Leonard Pozner”.

Using publicly available resources and websites, I have been able to find several facial recognition matches that indicate that the person in the above photograph represents himself to the public as Leonard Pozner. (See A-E below) This does not appear to be the same person as the person who represented himself as Pozner at Sandy Hook.




Cruel Intentions: South Florida father fights conspiracy theories, threats tied to son’s death

The term “fake news” has exploded into a national conversation about separating fact from fiction. But for one South Florida father, online lies and conspiracy

FEBRUARY 7, 2017

A.

A17936 [12-05-2024, 17:32:52]
<https://wsvn.com/author/brian-entin/page/47/>




B.

A17936 [12-05-2024, 17:26:17]
[https://noahpozner.com/gallery/}

02 AN ANGEL WATCHES OVER THEM
of 11



C. A17936 [12-05-2024, 17:28:30]
[https://people.com/celebrity/newtown-shooting-victims-families-speak/]



D. A17936 [12-05-2024, 17:37:45]
[https://www.slate.fr/sites/default/files/lemnypozner.jpg]



Lenny Pozner leaves the funeral service for his six year-old Noah Pozner, killed in the mass shooting at Sandy Hook Elementary School in Newtown, at the Abraham L. Green Funeral home in Fairfield on Monday, December 17, 2012.

Brian A. Pounds / Brian A. Pounds

A17936 [30-05-2024, 20:54:35]

E. [<https://www.seattlepi.com/news/article/Professor-accused-of-taunting-Sandy-Hook-family...>]



F.

In Photograph A (above) Noah Pozner appears to be 2 years old, yet Lenny Pozner appears significantly older than in photograph B where Noah Pozner appears to be 5 years old. Noah Pozner was supposedly in 1st grade when he was killed making him 6 years old and making Leonard Pozner 46 years old at the time of the shooting. In Photographs E through F, Pozner appears to be significantly older than when Noah was only 5 years old yet only 1 years had passed.

1. Madison Pozner vs. Sandy Hook Pozner
 - a. Halbig compares the Madison Pozner with the Sandy Hook Pozner and finds them to be different persons. They not only appear to have different ears but