


obtained legal representation since, where a New Jersey attorney joined my Madison attorney *pro hac vice* for the Petition for Review to the Wisconsin Supreme Court. I moved for the Connecticut court to appoint a local counsel for the sole purpose of anchoring one or both of my attorneys in Connecticut for my intervention. The most informative response I received from one attorney was that she knew no one “with the guts and nuts” to take this on.

10. It is my belief, subject to my payment of fees and costs, that one or both of my current attorneys will appear *pro hac vice* in this case provided they are introduced to the court by an Attorney qualified to practice law in Alabama. I also believe that it would expedite justice for this Court to make an appointment of local counsel, where their participation would be involuntary and, accordingly, they would not have to bear the burden of criticism for having association with a “Sandy Hook skeptic”.
11. The importance of judicially proving the alleged Sandy Hook Shooting took place on 12/14/12 ought to be obvious even to non-litigants in this case. To go forward with the settlement without that proof would allow the Connecticut Supreme Court finding quoted above to remain. That would expose me to future litigation from other Sandy Hook alleged parents and work a fraud upon me.
12. The Bankruptcy Plan Administrator is not properly supervising the Soto case by approving the \$33 million settlement without demanding discovery and requiring the Plaintiffs to prove six adults and twenty children died at Sandy Hook. The inference from the exorbitant size of the settlement offered would cause the public to draw the wrong conclusion while they are ignorant of the voluminous, specific, and detailed evidence to the contrary.

13. There is a growing movement that laws should be passed in every state that will not permit the sealing or redaction of any evidence in cases that are used to commit another crime of greater import, namely: the disarmament of the American people against the 2nd Amendment. If a shooting is never used for that purpose, the crime reports may remain sealed for the grieving. The "Sandy Hook School Shooting" is constantly cited in advertisements for disarmament in violation of the 2nd Amendment. And the same is used to ruin gun manufacturers against the law of the land. Under these circumstances all the evidence should be made public. The outrageous \$33,000,000 payoff without determining if the crime even took place will only harden what might be one of the greatest deceptions in history. Who really wants to be a part of that?

For these and other good reasons, I respectfully request that this Motion be granted.



JAMES H. FETZER, Ph.D., Pro Se

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On this 24th day of September, 2021, I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion to Intervene and for Extension of Time to Retain Legal Counsel has been emailed this day to all counsel of record as follows:

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Co-Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899

Rachel S. Janger
rjanger@omm.com

BURR & FORMAN LLP

Co-Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession
420 North 20th Street, Suite 3400
Birmingham, Alabama 35203

D. Christopher Carson
ccarson@burr.com

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

Co-Counsel for the Committee
345 California Street, Suite 2200
San Francisco, CA 94104

Michael G. Menkowitz
mmendowitz@foxrothschild.com

**BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC**

Co-Counsel for the Committee
420 20th Street North, Suite 1400
Birmingham, AL 35203

Matthew M. Cahill
mcahill@bakerdonelson.com



James H. Fetzer, Ph.D., Pro Se
800 Violet Lane
Oregon, WI 53575

(608) 354-4280
jfetzer@d.umn.edu

EXHIBIT J:
The Pozner Complaint
(November 27, 2018)

FILED
 11-27-2018
 CIRCUIT COURT
 DANE COUNTY, WI
 2018CV003122
 Honorable Frank D.
 Remington
 Branch 8

STATE OF WISCONSIN	CIRCUIT COURT	DANE COUNTY CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION
<p>LEONARD POZNER, c/o: Meshbesh & Spence, Ltd. 1616 Park Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55404</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>JAMES FETZER, 800 Violet Lane Oregon, WI 53575,</p> <p>MIKE PALECEK, 7545 Bear Trap Junction Road Saginaw, MN 55779,</p> <p>and</p> <p>WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES, LLC, 6256 Bullet Drive, Crestview, FL, 32536,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>		<p>Court File No. _____ Judge: _____</p> <p>SUMMONS</p>

To the above named Defendants: You are hereby notified that the Plaintiff named above has filed a lawsuit against you. The Complaint, which is attached, states the nature and basis of the legal action.

Within 45 days of receiving this Summons, you must respond with a written answer, as that term is used in Chapter 802 of the Wisconsin Statutes, to the Complaint. The court may reject or disregard an answer that does not follow the requirements of the statutes. The answer must be sent or delivered to the court, whose address is: Clerk of Circuit Court, Dane County, 215 S Hamilton St., Madison, WI 53703, and to The Zimmerman Firm, Plaintiff's attorney, whose address is: 15 Crocus Hill, Saint Paul, MN 55102.

You may have an attorney help or represent you.

If you do not provide a proper answer within 45 days, the court may grant judgment against you for the award of money or other legal action requested in the Complaint, and you may lose your right to object to anything that is or may be incorrect in the Complaint. A judgment may be enforced as provided by law. A judgment awarding money may become a lien against any real estate you own now or in the future, and may also be enforced by garnishment or seizure of property.

Genevieve M. Zimmerman
(WI#1100693)
MESHBESHER & SPENCE, LTD.
1616 Park Avenue
Minneapolis, MN 55404
Phone: (612) 339-9121
Fax: (612) 339-9188
gzimmerman@meshbesh.com

THE ZIMMERMAN FIRM, LLC
Jacob Zimmerman (MN#0330656)
1043 Grand Avenue #255
Saint Paul, MN 55105
jake@zimmerman-firm.com

Case 2018CV003122

Document 1

Filed 11-27-2018

Page 3 of 15

FILED
11-27-2018
CIRCUIT COURT
DANE COUNTY, WI
2018CV003122

STATE OF
WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

Honorable Frank D.
Remington
Branch 6
CASE TYPE:
DEFAMATION

LEONARD POZNER,

Court File No. _____

Judge: _____

vs.

CIVIL COMPLAINT &
DEMAND FOR JURY
TRIAL

JAMES FETZER,
MIKE PALECEK,
WRONGS WITHOUT
WREMEDIES, LLC

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Leonard Pozner (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") brings this Complaint against James Fetzer, Mike Palecek, and Wrongs Without Wremedies, LLC (hereinafter collectively "Defendants") and, by and through his attorneys, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff suffered a parent's worst nightmare: his son, N.P., was killed in a mass shooting on December 14, 2012 at Sandy Hook Elementary School. This case arises out of accusations made by Defendants in, among other places, their 2016 book, "Nobody Died At Sandy Hook." Defendant Fetzer has a long history of harassing Plaintiff and other Sandy Hook parents with defamatory lies, and has slandered Plaintiff repeatedly in the years since the tragedy at Sandy Hook. This

case focuses narrowly on one falsehood: that Plaintiff circulated a forgery of N.P.'s death certificate.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Leonard Pozner is an individual residing in the State of Florida.

3. On information and belief, Defendant James Fetzer is a resident of the State of Wisconsin and of Dane County. On information and belief, he resides at 800 Violet Lane, Oregon, Wisconsin. Mr. Fetzer is an editor of the "Expanded 2016 Revised" version of "Nobody Died At Sandy Hook." Mr. Fetzer is a co-author of Chapter 11 of that book, titled "Are Sandy Hook Skeptics Delusional with Twisted Minds." On information and belief, Defendant Fetzer is a co-founder of Moon Rock Books.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Mike Palecek is a resident of the State of Minnesota. On information and belief, he resides at 7545 Bear Trap Junction Road, Saginaw, MN. Mr. Palecek is listed as an editor of the "Expanded 2016 Revised" version of "Nobody Died At Sandy Hook." On information and belief, Defendant Palecek is a co-founder of Moon Rock Books.

5. Defendant Wrongs Without Wremedies, LLC is a Florida limited liability company with a principal address at 6256 Bullet Drive, Crestview, FL, 32536. Defendant Wrongs Without Wremedies, LLC is the owner of a fictitious name, Moon Rock Books Publishing, registered with the State of Florida under

COUNT ONE
DEFAMATION (BY ALL DEFENDANTS)

21. All previous allegations are incorporated by reference.
22. Plaintiff is a private individual and is neither a public official nor a public figure.
23. The statements excerpted from “Nobody Died At Sandy Hook” are false, both in their particular facts and in the main point, essence, or gist in the context in which they were made, because N.P.’s death certificate is not a fabrication or forgery.
24. The statements excerpted from “Nobody Died At Sandy Hook” refer directly to Plaintiff by name, and the surrounding context likewise indicates that the comments implicate Plaintiff. Given the surrounding assertions, a reasonable reader would understand the statement to imply that Plaintiff knowingly possessed and distributed a fabricated death certificate.
25. The statements excerpted from “Nobody Died At Sandy Hook” are continuations and elaborations of an underlying false assertions which Defendants have advanced for several years.
26. Defendants’ defamatory publications were designed to harm Plaintiff’s reputation and subject Plaintiff to public contempt, disgrace, ridicule, or attack.

27. Defendants acted with actual malice. In particular, Defendants' published their statements knowing that the statements were false or with reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of the statements.

28. Defendants' defamatory publications were not privileged.

29. Defendants' defamatory statements constitute defamation per se. The harmful nature of the defamatory statements is self-evident. The defamatory statements implicate Plaintiff in criminal conduct.

30. Defendants' defamatory publications have and will continue to cause harm to the Plaintiffs. Due to Defendants' conduct, the Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer substantial damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT TWO
DEFAMATION (DEFENDANT FETZER)

31. All previous allegations are incorporated by reference.

32. The statements excerpted from Defendant Fetzer's August 2018 blog post are false, both in their particular facts and in the main point, essence, or gist in the context in which they were made, because N.P.'s death certificate is not a fabrication or forgery. The surrounding context implies that Plaintiff knowingly distributed a falsified death certificate.

33. The statements excerpted from Defendant Fetzer's August 2018 blog post refer directly to Plaintiff by name, and the surrounding context likewise indicates that the comments implicate Plaintiff.

34. The statements excerpted from Defendant Fetzer's August 2018 blog post are continuations and elaborations of an underlying false assertions which Defendants have advanced for several years.

35. Defendant's defamatory publications were designed to harm Plaintiff's reputation and subject Plaintiff to public contempt, disgrace, ridicule, or attack.

36. Defendant Fetzer acted with actual malice. In particular, Defendant Fetzer published his statements knowing that the statements were false or with reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of the statements.

37. Defendant's defamatory publications were not privileged.

38. Defendant's defamatory statements constitute defamation per se. The harmful nature of the defamatory statements is self-evident. The defamatory statements implicate Plaintiff in criminal conduct.

39. Defendants' defamatory publications have and will continue to cause harm to the Plaintiffs. Due to Defendants' conduct, the Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer substantial damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT THREE
CONSPIRACY

40. All previous allegations are incorporated by reference.

41. With regard to the statements in "Nobody Died At Sandy Hook," Defendants acted together, as a cabal, to accomplish their defamation. Defendants

had a meeting of the minds on the object or course of action underlying their recklessly defamatory publication.

42. As a result of this meeting of the minds, Defendants collectively committed the unlawful overt acts detailed above.

43. Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the injuries Plaintiff suffered due to Defendants' wrongful actions.

DAMAGES

44. Plaintiff has suffered general and special damages, including a severe degree of mental stress and anguish which have disrupted his daily routine and caused a high degree of psychological pain.

45. Plaintiff has also suffered damage to his reputation and image, both up to the present and into the future.

46. Because Defendants' conduct amounts to defamation per se, Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of presumed damages.

47. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of nominal damages and a judgment clearing his name.

48. Plaintiff is also entitled to exemplary damages because the Defendants acted with malice.

49. Plaintiff is also entitled to pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, costs of court, and attorney's fees.

JURY DEMAND

50. Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury of twelve persons on all claims so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

51. WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs pray for judgment against the defendants as follows:

- A. Ordering compensation for all general, special, incidental, and consequential damages suffered by plaintiff as a result of the defendants' conduct;
- B. Awarding plaintiff his reasonable attorney's fees and costs, to the fullest extent allowed by law; and
- C. Granting all such additional or further relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

Dated: November 27, 2018

/s/ Genevieve M. Zimmerman
Genevieve M. Zimmerman (WI#1100693)
MESHBESHER & SPENCE, LTD.
1616 Park Avenue
Minneapolis, MN 55404
Phone: (612) 339-9121
Fax: (612) 339-9188
gzimmerman@meshbesh.com

THE ZIMMERMAN FIRM, LLC
/s/ Jacob S. Zimmerman
Jacob Zimmerman (MN#0330656)
1043 Grand Avenue #255
Saint Paul, MN 55105
jake@zimmerman-firm.com

Attachment A: Official Death Certificate of N.P. (sensitive information redacted)

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL REPORTED FOR RECORD.

ATTEST: *Debbie C. Cuneo*
 Registrar

boxes 12 & 22 corrected as per Father's-14-13
 2012-07-078032
 Sarah Pozner

VS-AME 404 STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICE OF THE CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER			CERTIFICATE OF DEATH: 2012-07-078032		
1. DECEASED'S LEGAL NAME (Include AKA's if any) (Print, Middle, Last)			SEX: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MALE <input type="checkbox"/> FEMALE		
Noah Samuel Pozner			3. ACTUAL OR PRETENSED DATE OF DEATH: December 14, 2012		
5. Age at last birthday: 6			4. ACTUAL OR PRETENSED TIME OF DEATH: 11:00AM		
6. SEX: Male			7. DATE OF BIRTH (MM/DD/YYYY): November 20, 2006		
8. RESIDENCE STATE: Connecticut			9. RESIDENCE CITY OR TOWN: Danbury, Connecticut		
10. RESIDENCE COUNTY: Fairfield			11. RESIDENCE ZIP+4: Sandy Hook		
12. RESIDENCE STREET ADDRESS: 37 Alpine Circle			13. DECEASED'S MARITAL STATUS AT TIME OF DEATH: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Married <input type="checkbox"/> Widowed <input type="checkbox"/> Never Married <input type="checkbox"/> Divorced		
14. CITY OR TOWN OF DEATH: Sandy Hook			15. SURVIVING SPOUSE'S NAME (if with, give maiden name): Veronique Patricia Haller		
16. DECEASED'S RELATIONSHIP TO DECEASED: Mother			17. MAILING ADDRESS (Street or Route or Box or PO Box): 3 Kale Davis Road, Sandy Hook, Connecticut 06482		
18. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			19. CITY OR TOWN OF DEATH: Sandy Hook		
20. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			21. COUNTY OF DEATH: FAIRFIELD		
22. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			23. MANNER OF DEATH: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Natural <input type="checkbox"/> Poisoning <input type="checkbox"/> Drowning <input type="checkbox"/> Suffocation <input type="checkbox"/> Assault <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)		
24. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			25. DATE OF DEATH: 12/14/2012		
26. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			27. TIME OF DEATH: 11:00 AM		
28. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			29. PLACE OF DEATH: Secondary		
30. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			31. MANNER OF DEATH: Shooting		
32. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			33. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
34. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			35. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
36. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			37. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
38. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			39. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
40. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			41. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
42. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			43. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
44. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			45. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
46. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			47. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
48. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			49. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
50. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			51. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
52. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			53. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
54. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			55. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
56. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			57. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
58. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			59. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
60. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			61. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
62. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			63. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
64. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			65. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
66. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			67. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
68. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			69. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
70. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			71. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
72. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			73. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
74. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			75. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
76. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			77. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
78. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			79. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
80. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			81. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
82. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			83. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
84. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			85. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
86. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			87. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
88. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			89. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
90. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			91. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
92. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			93. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
94. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			95. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
96. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			97. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
98. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			99. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		
100. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School			101. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION: Public School		

THE SEAL OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT IS AFFIXED TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRUE COPY OF A RECORD FILED WITH THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE GENERAL STATUTES OF CONNECTICUT.

ELIZABETH FRUGALE
 REGISTRAR OF VITAL RECORDS

NOV 14 2018
DATE OF ISSUE

EXHIBIT K:

Fetzer Published Death Certificate

Nobody Died at Sandy Hook

(2015; 2nd ed., 2016)

pages v-vi, 181, 242

***Nobody Died at
Sandy Hook***

***It was a FEMA Drill
to Promote Gun Control***

**Jim Fetzer and Mike Palecek
Editors**

MOON ROCK BOOKS

Save the World/Resist the Empire Series

*The Dynamic Duo: White Rose Blooms in Wisconsin
And I suppose we didn't go to the Moon, either?*

*Nobody Died at Sandy Hook
And Nobody died in Boston, either*

Mike Palecek, Jim Fetzer
Series Editors

*Nobody Died at Sandy Hook
It Was a FEMA Drill to Promote Gun Control*

James H. Fetzer, Ph.D. & Mike Palecek

**First Edition: October 2015
Banned Edition: December 2015
Second Edition February 2016
ISBN: 978-0-692-64417-1
Copyright 2016 by Moon Rock Books**

Ordering more copies: Order more copies of *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook* from MOON ROCK BOOKS, 6256 Bullet Drive, Crestview, FL 32536 or *MoonRockBooks.com*.

Reproduction Policy: Portions of this book may be reproduced without prior permission in critical reviews and other papers if credit is given to authors/editors, full book title is listed and full contact information are given for publisher. No other reproduction of any portion of this book is allowed without permission.

Disclaimer and Reader Agreement: Under no circumstances will the publisher, Moon Rock Books, or the authors/editors be liable to any person or business entity for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, consequential or other damages based on any use of this book or any other source to which it refers, including, without limitation, any lost profits, business interruption, or loss of programs or information.

Special thanks to Tom Kimball, Ph.D., for a brilliant job of copy editing (<http://www.knowbiz.biz/support/contact.html>). We have fixed typographical errors and corrected a mistake about the time at which some of the most telling photographs in Ch. 8 were taken, of the most telling, which was the evening of the 13th rather than the morning of the 14th. The enthusiastic response to the 1st edition has led the editors to add new chapters about some rather bizarre aspects of "the Sandy Hook experience", authored by Tony Mead.


MOON ROCK BOOKS
6256 Bullet Drive, Crestview, FL 32536
www.MoonRockBooks.com

Cover design and layout by Ole Dammegård

Are Sandy Hook Skeptics Delusional with "Twisted Minds"?

missing children, you can search in vain for reactions from them to the alleged deaths of their children. Try Robbie Parker, father of Emilie, meeting the press, for example; or try Anderson Cooper interviewing the parents of Grace McDonnell. Search for any parent displaying real grief. It's not there.

Children killed in Gaza playground shelling
Israel denies striking Gaza's main hospital and a playground, where seven children have been killed.



Noah Pozner's "death certificate"

Upon first consideration, Lenny's "death certificate" for Noah Pozner looks authentic, where questions only arise when you take a closer look. For it to have been published by his father, Lenny, is a significant development, since it is the first concrete proof we have that any child actually died at Sandy Hook. As I have emphasized, there have been extraordinary efforts to suppress information about these 20 deaths:

A detailed scan of a Connecticut death certificate for Noah Samuel Pozner. The form includes fields for the decedent (Noah Samuel Pozner, born 11/20/2006), the informant (Lenny Pozner), the medical examiner (H. Wayne Carter, M.D.), and the cause of death (Multiple Gunshot Wounds). It also lists the funeral home (Gordon and Don Plummer Home) and the burial location (Sandy Hook Cemetery). The certificate is signed by the Chief Medical Examiner on December 26, 2012.

James Tracy, Ph.D.

The Noah Pozner “death certificate” is an obvious forgery where the upper portion has no file number and the wrong approximate time of death.

As an example, a few days after the Pozners’ editorial appeared, I confronted a local broadcast reporter in my driveway, asking if he had done his due diligence in interrogating the Pozners’ claims before knocking on my front door. “Mr. Pozner showed me a death certificate” for his son, the reporter replied. As many Sandy Hook researchers are aware, the very document Pozner circulated in 2014, with its inconsistent tones, fonts and clear digital manipulation, was clearly a forgery. Yet such “proof” was entirely acceptable to this “journalist.” [13]

With journalists this credulous it is little wonder that Mill’s mass society is a greater reality than ever before. In an era where information is purportedly at our fingertips, the prevailing narratives of major events take precedence over the facts and documentation that simply don’t support such storylines. Academics and those capable of earning a living as independent authors are the only members of society who have the security and privilege of taking

EXHIBIT L:
Dr. Fetzer's Answer
(January 2, 2019)

8

JAN - 4 2019

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

Leonard Pozner,

CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION

Plaintiff

vs.

ANSWER BY DEFENDANT

JAMES FETZER

James Fetzer,
Mike Palecek, and
Wrongs without Wremedies, LLC,

No. 2018-CV-003122

Defendants

Comes now the defendant James Fetzer, and he answers the complaint as follows in reference to himself and his co-defendants defendants acting in concert with him, to wit:

1. Assuming he is a real person, the plaintiff styling himself as Leonard Pozner has thrust himself into the forefront of public controversy, and is, therefore, a public figure. The present case is therefore governed by *New York Times v. Sullivan*, 376 U. S. 254 (1964), and *Garrison v. Louisiana*, 379 U. S. 64 (1964). In any event, the plaintiff cannot prevail against the defendant James Fetzer and/or those acting in concert with him, unless he, the said plaintiff, can prove by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant James Fetzer and/or those acting in concert with him published with willful or reckless disregard for the truth -- in other words, unless the defendant Fetzer and/or the other defendants published with knowledge that the publications complained of were false or that the publications complained of were written with a high degree of certainty that they were probable false --, no actionable tort or legal wrong has

been committed. The publications complained of were in any event true and, if mistaken in any respect, they were written in good faith and were and still are for good cause believed to be true. The defendant James Fetzer answers pro se in reference to himself and the other named defendants, until he and his co-defendants are able to secure adequate representation in this cause. This answer has been prepared under the guidance and with the assistance of a lawyer accepting the account of the defendant Fetzer, who has served as an officer in the Marine Corps and holds the degree of Doctor of Philosophy granted in 1970, has taught the philosophy of science in several institutions of higher learning in the United States over the course of thirty-five years, and is now Distinguished McKnight University Professor Emeritus on the Duluth Campus of the University of Minnesota. Everything complained of in this cause was prepared by the defendant Fetzer on the basis of his learning, study, experience, and conviction that everything complained of in this cause is true in fact and/or true to a high degree of rational certainty. Regarding the subject matter of the publications complained of, the defendant Fetzer pleads as follows:

BACKGROUND FACTS

2. This matter arises from allegations that the plaintiff designated as Leonard Pozner is victim of defamation by the defendant James Fetzer, acting in concert with the other named defendants. The claims giving rise to the allegations of defamation in the complaint stem from an event which is said to have occurred on December 14, 2012 at Sandy Hook Elementary School in the municipality of Newtown, Fairfield County, State of Connecticut. According to the version of the facts reported by the mainstream media (including ABC, NBC, CBS and CNN) and published in *The New York Times* and other newspapers around the world, a psychologically

distraught 20-year-old male, by the name of Adam Lanza, stole several guns from his mother before shooting her in her bed with a rifle, then drove to Sandy Hook Elementary School and entered the building by blasting a hole in the front glass window, then killed twenty first-grade children and six adults, including the principal, before taking his own life.

3. The plaintiff alleges that the defendant Fetzer and those acting in concert with him did not exercise due diligence in the exercise of their journalistic responsibilities and thereby defamed the plaintiff by falsely and maliciously asserting that the plaintiff had “circulated a forgery” of a death certificate for his son, Noah Pozner (to whom he makes reference in the complaint as “N. P.”), and that the defendant Fetzer and those acting in concert with him, did so in order “to harm plaintiff’s reputation and to subject the plaintiff to public contempt, disgrace, ridicule or attack.” The complaint alleges that the “plaintiff is a private individual, and is neither a public official nor a public figure”. The plaintiff acknowledges having made efforts to debunk published falsehoods about Sandy Hook, among other things by releasing the death certificate of N. P. in order “to rebut claims that his son was not killed at Sandy Hook.” The plaintiff pleads that his “Appendix 1” is in fact a death certificate allegedly certified by the State of Connecticut, and that the copy of the said death certificate reproduced by the plaintiff does not differ from the certified original “in any material respect.”

4. The plaintiff cites several references in support of his complaint, including Chapter 11 of the first edition published in 2015, and second edition republished in 2016, on pages 177-186 of both editions of a book entitled *Nobody died at Sandy Hook*, by Jim Fetzer, Ph.D., and a colleague by the name of Kelley Watt. Said Chapter 11 is identical in both editions. The defendant Fetzer stands by all evidence and commentary set forth, not only in Chapter 11, but the entire book, affirming its truth and persuasiveness.

5. The plaintiff also cites a blog post entitled) “James Fetzer: Sandy Hook Was A FEMA Drill In Which Nobody Died, for an Anti-Gun Agenda” as edited by Robert David Steele, the same prepared for and presented to the President of the United States, which the plaintiff cites by its URL on the website of one Robert David Steele.

FACTS CONCERNING THE PUBLICATIONS COMPLAINED OF

6. The plaintiff claims to be a private citizen, and not a public figure, which is disproved by the fact that the publication of the defendant Fetzer and Kelley Watt mentioned in paragraph 4 hereof was a literary answer to the plaintiff’s published article entitled “Our Grief Denied. The Twisted Cruelty of Sandy Hook Hoaxers,” which was published as a commentary in *The Hartford Courant* on July 25, 2014, still available on line. By thus staking out his position in public, as he has done on several occasions, the plaintiff has established himself as a public figure.

7. Likewise, the claims of the plaintiff regarding the ostensible death certificate of N. P. mentioned in paragraph 3 hereof were public argumentation which established the plaintiff as a public figure.

8. The copy of the death certificate circulated by the plaintiff, the same mentioned in the last two lines of paragraph 3 hereof, is materially different from the death certificate said to be prepared by the State of Connecticut, among other things in that the copy circulated by the plaintiff has no file number, no state seal, is different in color and texture, and includes text which was plainly enough photo-shopped. The said features of the circulated copy substantiate the conclusion of the defendant Fetzer that the purported death certificate of N. P. is inauthentic and fake.

9. As reported on pages 182-183 in the publication mentioned in the last two lines of paragraph 3 hereof, the type is clearly smaller in Box 3 than in the rest of the page. Moreover, a capital A in Box 12, Box 22, and Box 33 has a small flat in the pinnacle, yet the capital A in Box 12, Box 22, Box 26, Box 39, and Box 46 does not have a small flat in the pinnacle, which indicates fabrication and fakery.

10. Again as reported on pages 182-183 in the publication mentioned in the last two lines of paragraph 3 hereof, the spacing between “N” and “o” in Box 1 and Box 7 are clearly different, which indicates fabrication and fakery.

11. Again as reported on pages 182-183 in the publication mentioned in the last two lines of paragraph 3 hereof, the “N” in Box 1 and the “N” in Box 26, are clearly not the same, which indicates fabrication and fakery.

12. Again as reported on pages 182-183 in the publication mentioned in the last two lines of paragraph 3 hereof, the spacing between “S” and “a” in Box 1 is clearly not the same as the spacing between “S” and “a” in Box 11, which indicates fabrication and fakery.

13. Again as reported on pages 182-183 in the publication mentioned in the last two lines of paragraph 3 hereof, the printing of the name “Pozner” in Box 1 is clearly different from the name “Pozner” in Box 20, which indicates fabrication and fakery.

14. Because of the variation in spacing and fonts in the copy of the death certificate circulated by the plaintiff and the copy said to be certified by the State of Connecticut, hereinabove described in paragraphs 8 through 13 hereof, if the latter is the same as the former as insisted by the plaintiff, the latter is most likely inauthentic and a fake prepared as such by the State of Connecticut, as the defendant Fetzer believes.

15. Over and above the direct proofs of fabrication presented in the publication identified in paragraph 4 hereof, additional evidence is covered in “Sandy-Hook-Collected Memoranda (2018),” tiny URL: <http://tinyurl.com/SH-POTUS>, which provides extensive additional indirect proof of fabrication and fakery by the plaintiff and/or the State of Connecticut by demonstrating that the school had already been closed, and was not open on December 14, 2012; that there were no students in the school on the day of the alleged shooting, and that the incident was part of a two-day FEMA exercise to promote gun control in which nobody died, which implies that death certificates for any must be fabrications. Among other things, the defendant Fetzer asserts the facts in paragraphs 16 through 28 in behalf of himself and his co-defendants, much of which is reported in said Chapter 11 in the work mentioned the paragraph 4 hereof, to wit:

16. An aerial photograph of the parking lot of the school at Sandy Hook on December 14, 2012, reveals that there were no blue and white signage or parking spaces for the handicapped as required for an open facility under state and federal laws and regulations implementing the Americans with Disabilities Act, and thus confirms that the school was not open on December 14, 2012.

17. Although the ground temperature was 28 degrees Fahrenheit, no heat or steam was rising from the roof of the school building on December 14, 2012, probably because the boilers in the heating system were dysfunctional from lack of use since the year 2008.

18. In the center two rows in the parking lot, all parked vehicles faced the building itself, in violation of driving instructions visible from the road itself.

19. A credible witness contacted the United States Department of Education, and was told that on December 14, 2012, there had been a drill, that no children had died, and that the drill had been conducted to promote gun control.

20. Gathered in published works, certain photographs show the furnishing of an empty house said to have been the residence of Adam Lanza mentioned in paragraph 2 hereof, and a second series of photographs of refurbishing the school to serve as the stage, including one of a SWAT vehicle present before the claimed shooting incident that day. That the photograph was taken prior to the event is discernable, because a series of four windows in Classroom #10, which were conspicuously shot up after the incident, are still clearly intact.

21. Certain citizen journalists have found the FEMA manual for a two-day *Mass Casualty Drill Involving Children* at Sandy Hook, under the auspices of the United States Department of Homeland Security, the same expected to begin in the morning of December 12, 2012, and ending around midnight on December 13, 2012, then to be evaluated the next day. The said manual was published by the defendant Fetzer, among other places in an appendix in both versions of the book referenced in paragraph 4 hereof.

22. Images broadcast from the scene of the alleged shooting on December 12, 2012, confirm that the incident was a FEMA exercise, including a sign which read, "Everyone must check in," portable toilets, pizza and bottled water nearby to feed participants, and persons with name tags on lanyards.

23. There was no surge of EMTs into the building, no string of ambulances to fetch injured or dead persons, as would have occurred if the shooting incident had occurred as the plaintiff claims.

24. During his press conference on December 14, 2012, Wayne Carver, M. D., Medical Examiner for the State of Connecticut, stated that parents would not be allowed to see the bodies of their deceased children, which was a violation of applicable medical protocols.

25. The final report on Sandy Hook by the Danbury state's attorney in and for the State of Connecticut offers no proof that anyone died at Sandy Hook and does not establish a causal nexus that ties the alleged shooter, Adam Lanza mentioned in paragraph 2 hereof, to the weapons he supposedly used or to the victims he is said to have killed. .

26. Recent research published as a video entitled "Sandy Hook Update: Tracy loses, Wolfgang wins. The Deep State Strikes Back," and other videos reveal that casualties on December 14, 2012, were fictions who existed only in the form of photographs, -- among other things, that Noah Pozner was a fictitious person created out of photographs of a person who has been represented as his older step brother Michael Vabner.

27. A photograph showing what appears to be a policewoman herding a string of children to safety, published around the world, was preceded by a second photograph, taken by the same photographer, and showing many parents present, casually looking on, as the policewoman in charge rearranges the kids, replacing a little girl in a pink sweater at the head of the line with a taller boy with a dark sweater and blue jeans to get a better shot, -- a photograph to which the plaintiff refers as "lounging at the massacre." This photograph is journalistic theatre, because there would have been no time to call parents to Sandy Hook Elementary School, had the incident here in question been a genuine emergency.

28. Just as "Noah Pozner" appears to be a fiction, the plaintiff, styling himself "Leonard Pozner" appears to be a fiction as well, inasmuch as searches of national databases for persons by the name have been unsuccessful. Other research and investigation by the defendant Fetzer and a colleague suggests that the plaintiff is the father of Michael Vabner, and that his real name is "Reuben Vabner," as the defendant Fetzer believes to be the case.

29. This suit has been brought for the illicit purpose of intimidation to prevent public knowledge of the truth concerning the events at Sandy Hook, and not for genuine legal relief for actionable harm done, and is therefore an actionable abuse of process. The defendant Fetzer reserves for himself and his co-defendants the options to counterclaim for abuse of process and/or to seek relief under Section 802.05 and/or under Section 895.044 of Wisconsin Statutes.

WHEREFORE, the defendant Fetzer demands that the plaintiff take nothing, that the complaint be dismissed, and that he be granted such further protection and remedy as may be necessary and proper, and allowed by law. He prays as well for like protection of his co-defendants, as is indispensable to protection of himself.

Dated: 4 January 2019 James F. Fetzer, Ph.D.
 JAMES FETZER, PRO SE
 800 Violet Lane
 Oregon, WI 53575
 (h): (608) 835-2707
 (c): (608) 354-4280
 jfetzer@d.umn.edu

EXHIBIT M:
Scheduling Conference Transcript
(11 March 2019)
pages 1, 49-50

1 STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT DANE COUNTY

2 * * * * *)
LEONARD POZNER,)
3)
Plaintiff,)
4 vs.) Case No. 18-CV-3122
JAMES FETZER, et al.,)
5)
Defendants.)
6

7 * * * * *

8 TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONE SCHEDULING CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
9 commencing on the 11th day of March, 2019, at approximately
10 11:10 a.m. before the
11 HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK D. REMINGTON

13 APPEARANCES: LEONARD POZNER present with Attorneys at Law,
14 GENEVIEVE ZIMMERMAN and JACOB ZIMMERMAN,
15 Meshbeshier & Spence, Minneapolis, Minnesota,
16 appeared telephonically

17 JAMES FETZER appeared telephonically with no
18 counsel

19 MICHAEL PALECEK appeared telephonically with
20 no counsel

21 WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES appeared by
22 Attorneys at Law, REED PETERSON, Reed
23 Peterson and Associates, Madison, Wisconsin,
24 and ALEXANDER PETALE, The Law Offices of
25 Alexander Petale, Los Angeles, California,
26 appeared telephonically

27 Reported by:
28 Colleen C. Clark, RPR
29 Official Court Reporter, Branch 8

1 the Sandy Hook event. Whether or not Mr. Pozner -- or
2 what he's doing with regard to Sandy Hook is not relevant
3 or germane to the issues in this case. So let me right
4 now disabuse you of any notion that I expect Mr. Pozner
5 either to take the bait and try to prove the existence of
6 Sandy Hook or not.

7 The Plaintiff, I understand, has drafted a very
8 carefully, discreet, and narrow cause of action. The only
9 issue in this case is whether Noah Pozner's birth
10 certificate is real or not and if it's real --

11 MR. PETALE: Your Honor --

12 THE COURT: -- the --

13 MR. PETALE: -- death certificate.

14 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Death certificate. I'm
15 sorry. Thank you for correcting me. His death
16 certificate.

17 Whether or not Sandy Hook ever happened or not
18 is not relevant to this -- the -- the truthfulness or the
19 accuracy of the death certificate. Now, I understand
20 the -- the Defendants' overall theory in believing that it
21 never happened, and I'm not going to take the bait and let
22 this case go down that -- that path and into that rabbit
23 hole.

24 Whether or not Sandy Hook ever happened is for
25 another day in another place. The only question for me is

1 to guide the parties into engaging in discovery that
2 either proves the death certificate was -- was true, was
3 real, was accurate and legitimate or not. So I'm not
4 concerned with Mr. Pozner's litigation against, quote,
5 Sandy Hook skeptics. That's not relevant and not likely
6 to lead to the discovery of anything relevant that will be
7 admitted in this court.

8 Number 29. As to any records of donations
9 solicited or collected by Alexis Haller. Don't know who
10 that is. It's never been mentioned. I don't really --
11 concerned over whether someone is -- someone else is
12 collecting money for the Pozner family because of the
13 alleged death of Noah Pozner.

14 Nothing I say here or do prevents you from
15 engaging in discovery to Alexis Haller, and unless until
16 such time Alexis Haller comes in for protective order,
17 what Alexis Haller may or may not have done, that's up to
18 you to inquire of him or her.

19 Number 30. All records or donations received by
20 Pozner and Veronique Pozner in sympathy for alleged death
21 of Pozner. I believe that's unduly burdensome.

22 I mean, I understand a loose theory that if they
23 received donations or didn't receive donations, I mean,
24 you could have -- a real person could die and not get any
25 donations or a fake person could die and it could be so

EXHIBIT N:
Telephone Motion Hearing
(April 18, 2019)

Case 2018CV003122 Document 89 Scanned 04-18-2019 Page 1 of 1

LEONARD POZNER VS. JAMES FETZER, ET AL.
CASE NO. 18CV3122
TELEPHONE MOTION HEARING
4/18/2019

FILED

APR 18 2019

DANE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

MOTION FOR ORDER FOR GENETIC TESTING

Court questioned parties.

Argument by counsel.

Court granted mtn but denied proposed order.

Court appointed an expert examiner. DNA Diagnostics Center (DDC) – Dr. Michael Baird – contact person Tanya Powell – number provided.

Atty Zimmerman to draft order.

RE: STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULING AND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DATES

All parties in agreement with amendment.

Court indicated the proposed dates do not work to be able to keep the trial dates. The decision on the dispositive motions is too close to the FPT.

Atty Petale suggests pushing back the trial.

Atty Zimmerman opposes adjournment of the trial dates.

Court denied stipulation to amend.

Parties can still talk and propose dates that do not jeopardize the trial dates.

MTN TO DISMISS/STRIKE COUNTERCLAIM

Briefing schedule is already set.

Court discussed and questioned atty Petale.

Court suggests bifurcating the original cause of action and the counterclaim.

Atty Zimmerman does not object.

Discussion re: options:

- 1) apply the automatic stay that is per statute upon filing of mtn to dismiss;
- 2) bifurcate;
- 3) voluntary dismissal w/o prejudice with right to refile.

Atty Petale wants the schedule to remain or time to discuss options with his client.

Mr. Fetzer made comments.

No opinion from defn Palecek.

Court ordered bifurcation, vacated briefing schedule, and stayed discovery on counterclaim.

MTN CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

Court will not rule today.

Atty Petale doesn't object to basic information being protected, such as social security numbers, but wants more details on what information is being requested to be marked as confidential.

Atty Zimmerman to work with the parties.

Date set to hear motion: 4/26/19 at 10:30 by phone.

EXHIBIT O:
Motion for Expansion of DNA Testing
(April 22, 2019)

Case 2018CV003122

Document 88

Filed 04-22-2019

Page 1 of 21

FILED
04-22-2019
CIRCUIT COURT
DANE COUNTY, WI
2018CV003122

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

DANE COUNTY, WI

LEONARD POZNER,

CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION

Plaintiff

vs.

MOTION FOR EXPANSION
OF DNA TESTING

JAMES FETZER,
MIKE PALECEK,

and WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES, LLC,

Defendants

CASE NO. 2018-CV-003122

I, James Fetzer, representing myself pro se, in response to Court’s granting of Plaintiff’s Motion for Paternity Test pursuant to Wis. Stat. 885.23, move for an Order for Expanded DNA Testing as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. Among the most disputed issues in this case is the identity of Plaintiff, who calls himself “Leonard Pozner”, and of his purported deceased son, known as “Noah Pozner”, which Defendant Fetzer believes to be fake names for persons known as Reuben Vabner and his biological son, Michael Vabner, allegedly born of Veronique de la Rosa.

2. Kelley Watt was the first student of the Sandy Hook Elementary School event (SHES) to notice a similarity between photographs of the party known as “Noah Pozner” and the person who has been presented as his older step-brother, Michael Vabner (See Exhibits A, B, C, and D), which, like other exhibits included here, accompanied Defendant’s Second Set of Requests for Admissions, which was previously uploaded to the eFile system on 5 February 2019. Among the blogs about her research on SHES, “Is Noah’s Older Step-Brother, Michael Vabner, Noah ‘All Grown Up’? Or is Noah simply Michael as a child?” (27 January 2016), stands out as especially

interesting in this context. The comments reflect the importance of this question to SHES students:

memoryholeblog.org/2016/01/27/is-noahs-older-step-brother-michael-vabner-noah-all-grown-up

3. Defendant's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (5 February 2019), therefore, included some of the photographs that appear to support Kelley Watt's conjecture, wherein Plaintiff's Response to Defendant Fetzer's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (27 March 2019) admits that Exhibit A "appears to be a screen capture of a website for Michael Vabner"; that Exhibit B "appears to be an image of Michael Vabner"; that the two images on the left side of Exhibit C "appear to be reproductions of pictures of Noah Pozner" and that the two images on the right side of Exhibit C "appear to be reproductions of pictures of Michael Vabner"; and that Exhibit D "appears to be a low resolution or otherwise pixelated photograph of Noah Pozner". And in Response to No. 57, Plaintiff admits that the image of a U.S. passport "appears to be a reproduction of a scanned image of one page from Noah Samuel Pozner's U.S. Passport".

4. Among the oddities of the press conference that was held by then-Chief Medical Examiner for the State of Connecticut, H. Wayne Carver II, was his announcement that the parents were not allowed to see the bodies of their children, which were instead identified on the basis of photographs, which thereby created the opportunity to create fictitious victims out of photographs of other kids when they were young. Carver's statements can be verified from videos broadcast at the time of the press conference on 14 December 2012: www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3NS11Lo6As

5. Because of its extraordinary significance as an example of faking alleged decedents by the expedient of using photos of older kids when they were younger, Defendant Fetzer asked his JFK

research colleague, Larry Rivera to assist in this matter. Larry, the most competent expert of his acquaintance on photogrammetry—the application of mathematics to the study of photographs—has explained its principles in relation to his proof that Lee Harvey Oswald, the accused assassin of JFK, was in fact standing in the doorway of the Texas School Book Depository as the JFK motorcade passed by. This result means that Oswald not only cannot have been the lone gunman but cannot have even been one of the shooters. See, “The Man in the Doorway Identified” (6 November 2015): www.academia.edu/24943321/The_man_in_the_doorway_identified

Defendant requested that Larry compare Exhibit B with Exhibit D—without identifying who they were or even the context in which their identity assumed importance—where Larry verified that, given the difference in age, they appear to be one-and-the-same person, as Exhibit E displays.

6. Larry Rivera also created a GIF in which one can see “Noah Pozner” turn into Michael Vabner (which Defendant Fetzer has most recently published as the featured image of “SANDY HOOK: Why are there 4 versions of Noah Pozner’s death certificate?”): jamesfetzer.org/2019/04/sandy-hook-why-are-there-4-versions-of-noah-pozners-death-certificate

7. Other documents and records also seem to have been fabricated in this case, which appears to include a passport in the name of “Noah Samuel Pozner”, included here as Exhibit F. Plaintiff has admitted that this “appears to be a reproduction of a scanned image of one page from Noah Samuel Pozner’s U.S. Passport”. A new study by Mona Alexis Pressley, “Did ‘Lenny Pozner’ fabricate a passport for ‘Noah’?”, appears to have confirmed the fabrication, which if true, would qualify as a federal crime:

jamesfetzer.org/2019/03/mona-alexis-pressley-did-lenny-pozner-fabricate-a-passport-for-noah

8. Using these among their resources, six other SHES students collaborated to determine whether or not Kelley's conjecture—that Michael Vabner is “Noah Pozner” all grown up or that “Noah Pozner” is Michael Vabner as a child—was indeed the case and established (on the basis of photographic comparisons) that they had the same eyes, the same eyebrows, the same nose, the same mouth, the same ears and the same skull shape, which Defendant Fetzer published in his blog, jamesfetzer.blogspot.com, which mysteriously disappeared along with other blogs, but was archived by other SHES students, included here as Exhibit G, “Sandy Hook Charade: ‘Noah Pozner’ was Michael Vabner as a Child” (17 February 2017).

9. Not only has Defendant Fetzer had some of his most important blogs mysteriously disappear, but others who have interviewed Defendant Fetzer about SHES have had their blogs, videos and (even) entire websites taken down in a blatant—even brazen—effort to suppress the publication of research about SHES. Mike Adams, who has been ranked the second most influential figure on the Internet, for example, had his entire website—which archived over 1,700 videos and had 200,000+ subscribers—taken down after he had been flagged for an interview with Defendant Fetzer from 2015 about amazon.com having banned his book, *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook: It was a FEMA Drill to Promote Gun Control* (2015). In early 2018, Mike did an interview with Defendant Fetzer, published as “The Most Dangerous Mind in America interviewed about false flags and extreme censorship” (6 May 2018):

www.naturalnews.com/2018-05-06-the-most-dangerous-mind-in-america-interviewed-about-false-flags-and-extreme-censorship.html

10. Plaintiff declares that his HONR Network was founded after SHES “to end the continued harassment and intentional torment of the victim’s families, who suffered abuse and additional

anguish after being targeted by online hoax and hate purveyors”—later expanded, as “additional mass-casualty events occurred”—to include “the families of other victims” who “similarly became targets, and reached out for help.” Plaintiff writes on his website that “the HONR Network mission has evolved even further . . . to provide guidance and a network of support for all affected by online harassment, hate campaigns and victimization, to provide education for professionals dealing with the effects of harassment in the workplace and on campuses, and to provide policy assistance for online platforms and legislators seeking to make the internet a safer, more inclusive place for all.” Defendant Fetzer has established that Plaintiff acquired the HONR domain name in 2005, seven years before SHES. And, during a recent interview, Plaintiff boasted that his network had been responsible for removing some 10,000 “content items” from the Internet, where a blog or a video would count as only one “content item”, as Defendant Fetzer has documented in his “Lenny Pozner’s HONR Network: There is no honor among thieves” (2 April 2019):

jamesfetzer.org/2019/04/lenny-pozners-honr-network-there-is-no-honor-among-thieves

ARGUMENT

11. These exhibits are presented for the sole purpose of illustrating the complexities of this case with regard to photographs and other records, such as passports, that would normally be taken for granted as authentic. In this case, there has been so much manipulation to conceal what happened at SHES—a FEMA exercise presented as mass murder to promote the Obama administration’s gun control agenda—that unusual efforts are required to sort out truth from fiction. Defendant Fetzer submits that expanded DNA testing could help to sort out what appears to be a complex scam.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF


12. Given the Court has approved Plaintiff’s Motion for DNA testing of samples said to be of decedent, Noah Pozner, therefore, Defendant moves that additional DNA be conducted of (a)

Case 2018CV003122 Document 88 Filed 04-22-2019 Page 6 of 21

Leonard Pozner, (b) Reuben Vabner, (c) Michael Vabner and (d) Veronique de la Rosa as critical evidence in the interest of truth, justice and the public welfare.

Defendant likewise moves that a sample of the DNA putatively used for the testing of "Noah Pozner" be provided to Defendant for independent testing, and that a second DNA sample be taken of Plaintiff Leonard Pozner when he is subjected by Defendants to a video deposition.

Date: 20 April 2019

Signed:  _____

James Fetzer

EXHIBIT A

Page from Website of Michael Vabner

A Little About Me...



Hello! Welcome to my webpage, my name is Michael Vabner and I am a senior at the University of Connecticut at Storrs, CT. I am currently pursuing my Bachelor of Economics and expect to graduate this coming May 2017. My objective for my career post graduation would be a position where I can put on different hats and expand my skill set. My previous experience includes two internships at the InfoReliance Corporation in Fairfax VA and as a member of the UConn Association of Computing Machinery.

EXHIBIT B

Another Photograph of Michael Vabner



EXHIBIT C

Photos of “Noah Pozner” and of Michael Vabner

[Editor's note: This is the thesis first advanced by Kelley Watt (in a blog that has disappeared from this site, but which was published in [Nobody Died at Sandy Hook](#) (2nd edition, 2016), as Appendix D. Here is the key photo from that chapter, where everyone Kelley has shared it with has agreed that Noah is Michael Vebner as a child:

Is Noah Michael Vabner?



Noah and Michael / Noah and Arielle / Michael and Danielle

EXHIBIT D

Another Photo of “Noah Pozner”



EXHIBIT E

From “Noah Pozner” to Michael Vabner




EXHIBIT F

Scan of Passport for “Noah Samuel Pozner”




EXHIBIT G



If Noah was born on 20 November 2006, then he would have been six years old, which would be appropriate to have been a 1st grader at Sandy Hook Elementary School on 14 December 2012. We now have multiple proofs that falsify this scenario and confirm the hypothesis that Noah is Michael as a child.

PROOF 1: NOAH AND SPIDERMAN (Anonymous2)

Here we have a frame from a video of Noah talking about a scene from “Spiderman” (2002). You can watch the video by clicking [Noah and Spiderman](#). From the vivid details of his recollection, Anonymous2 infers that Noah had just seen the movie, which would make him around 4 years old in 2002:




At the time of the event at Sandy Hook on 14 December 2012, however, Noah was supposed to be 6 years old. He cannot have been 4 years old in 2002 and

only 2 years older in 2012. He would have been around 14 at the time of the alleged shooting, which would better fit Michael Vabner, not Noah.

PROOF 2: IT'S NOAH BY AN EAR (Dr. Eowyn)

We have more photographs of Noah and of Michael to work with today, including the following, which invite comparisons of their ears. As has become more widely known, ears are as distinctive as fingerprints. So having the same ears would be another strong proof that they are not two different people:



FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2017

Sandy Hook Charade: “Noah Pozner” was Michael Vabner as a Child

Jim Fetzer

<http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com/2017/02/sandy-hook-charade-noah-pozner-was.html>

In “[Is Noah’s Older Step-Brother, Michael Vabner, Noah “all grown up?”](#)” (27 January 2016), Kelley Watt was the first to suggest that the person Lenny Pozner claims to have died at Sandy Hook on 14 December 2012, Noah, was actually his purported older step-brother, Michael Vabner, as a child. Here are some images that Kelley has offered in support:

Is Noah Michael Vabner?




Noah and Michael / Noah and Arielle / Michael and Danielle

Here’s an interview with Kelley Watt in which we review the evidence, where her hypothesis at this point was a fascinating conjecture but where many to whom she had shown the photographs had no hesitation in affirming that, in their view, based on their experience, Michael is Noah “all grown up”!

According to Lenny Pozner, who purports to be his father, Noah was a first grade student at Sandy Hook Elementary School on 14 December 2012, when he and 19 other children were massacred by Adam Lanza. Here is a passport image declaring his date of birth to have been 20 November 2006:

Dr. Eowyn cropped photos of the two and focused on their ears. Here is her side-by-side comparison below. At first, Noah's left ear appeared to have a smaller lobe than Michael's left ear. But a picture of Noah's right ear reveals a lobe like Michael's. Her conclusion: *they have the same ears!*



If Noah and Michael have the same ears and ears are as distinctive as fingerprints, then we have a proof that Noah and Michael are one and the same that is as powerful as fingerprints, which of course are frequently introduced in courts of law. (See if you can tell which ears Dr. Eowyn selected for comparison. Try one of your own!)

PROOF 3: THEY HAVE THE SAME EYES (Dennis Cimino)


Dennis Cimino has done exquisite work on the alteration of the most famous photograph from the assassination of JFK--the Altgens6, as it is technically known--so I was confident that he could make valuable contributions to our analysis of the Noah/Michael mystery. He agrees with Eowyn about their ears and adds that their eyes also seem to be the same:



Moreover, as Dennis has remarked, why would there be any reason to alter them unless something important was at stake, such as creating a false photographic trail to convey the false impression that “Noah” was years younger than his actual age as Michael?

PROOF 4: THEY ARE THE SAME PERSON (Larry Rivera)

Larry Rivera has done brilliant research proving that [the figure known as “Doorman” standing in the doorway of the Texas School Book Depository was in fact Lee Oswald](#), as Harold Weisberg, Jim Garrison and other serious students of JFK have believed. So I was sure he could help to resolve this issue by superposition:



Larry found that these were the photos that best facilitated the superposition, where the eyes, the eyebrows and the mouth align almost perfectly. The key is fixing the interpupillary distance (between the pupils of their eyes) the same. I knew he could help to resolve this issue by superposition. Here is a series that demonstrates that in spite of their age difference, considering normal growth, *Noah and Michael are one and the same*:

In “Sandy Hook: More on Noah Pozner and Michael Vabner”, Anonymous (as opposed to Anonymous2) observed that these photos looked photoshopped, which Dennis confirms with several abnormalities, including that the head is disproportionately large on the left:



Not only was this setting not a modern library, as Anonymous observed, but the neck discoloration top/mid neck to bottom neck and chest and the odd brown thing on the right (our left) side of his neck indicate that this one, like the others, has been photoshopped:

